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The generators of the stabilizer are the form $G_{r}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $G_{r}$ is $\cdots I I(Z X)^{n} Z I I \cdots$, positioned so that the first non-trivial Pauli is in qubit position $r$. For example, for $n=2$, five of the generators of the sliding- $Z X Z X Z$ state are

$$
\begin{array}{rlcccccccccccccc}
G_{-2} & = & \cdots & I & I & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
G_{-1} & = & \cdots & I & I & I & Z & X & X & Z & I & I & I & I & I & I  \tag{1}\\
\cdots & Z & I & I & I & I & I & \cdots \\
G_{0} & =\cdots & I & I & I & I & Z & X & Z & X & Z & I & I & I & I & \cdots \\
G_{1} & =\cdots & I & I & I & I & I & Z & X & Z & X & Z & I & I & I & \cdots \\
G_{2} & =\cdots & I & I & I & I & I & I & Z & X & Z & X & Z & I & I & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

For simplicity, we frequently omit leading and trailing Is when denoting Paulis.
Lemma 1. For all even $n \geq 2$, the sliding- $(Z X)^{n} Z$ state is a graph state.
Proof. The $X$-vectors of the above generators $G_{r}(r \in \mathbb{Z})$ are not full rank. We will show that, applying the Haramard $H$ in all positions that are multiples of $m=n+1$ apart to the generators causes the $X$-vectors of the generators to be of full rank, from which the result follows.

The precise local Clifford applied is

$$
\begin{cases}H & \text { in all positions of the form } k m-2, \text { for } k \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{2}\\ I & \text { in all other positions. }\end{cases}
$$

Note that the effect of this local Clifford has symmetry modulo $m$, in the sense that its effect on the generators is the same when all the qubit positions are shifted by any multiple of $m$.

For convenience, we define the infinite binary basis vectors $e(i)$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, as

$$
e(i)_{j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j=i  \tag{3}\\ 0 & \text { if } j \neq i .\end{cases}
$$

We will consider the effect of applying the above local Clifford to the generators $G_{r}(r \in \mathbb{Z})$, resulting in the modified generators $G_{r}^{\prime}(r \in \mathbb{Z})$. By the symmetry of the local Clifford modulo $m$, if $e(i)$ is in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators then so are $e(k m+i)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is useful to preform some of the analysis in terms of $F_{r}(r \in \mathbb{Z})$, defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{r} & =G_{r} G_{r+2}  \tag{4}\\
& =(Z X Z X \cdots X X Z I I)(I I Z X \cdots X Z X Z X Z)  \tag{5}\\
& =Z X I^{2 n-1} X Z  \tag{6}\\
& =Z X I^{2 m-3} \times Z \quad(\text { recall that } m=n+1), \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Paulis shown are in positions $r$ to $r+2 m$. Note that the $X$-vector of each $F_{r}$ has Hamming weight two and is $e(r+1)+e(r+2 m-1)$. We shall consider the effect of the local Clifford on various operators $F_{r}$, which results in $F_{r}^{\prime}=G_{r}^{\prime} G_{r+2}^{\prime}$.

First, consider $F_{-1}^{\prime}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-1}= \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the only nontrivial part of $F_{-1}$ that is aligned with where the local Clifford applies an $H$ is in position in $2 m-2$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-1}^{\prime}= \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the $X$-vector of $F_{-1}^{\prime}$ is $e(0)$. By the symmetry modulo $m$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, e(k m)$ is also in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators.

Next, consider $F_{1}^{\prime}$. Since

$$
F_{1}=\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & & & & 2 m  \tag{10}\\
Z & X & I & I & \cdots & I & I & X & Z
\end{array}
$$

it follows that $F_{1}^{\prime}=F_{1}$ and the $X$-vector of $F_{1}^{\prime}$ is $e(2)+e(2 m)$. Since we already know that $e(2 m)$ is in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators, we can deduce that $e(2)$ is in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators. Once again, by the symmetry modulo $m$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $e(k m+2)$ is also in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators.

We can repeat this process with $F_{3}^{\prime}, F_{5}^{\prime}, \ldots, F_{m-4}^{\prime}$, where the $X$-vectors are in the table

| operator | $X$-vector |
| :--- | :--- |
| $F_{-1}^{\prime}$ | $e(0)$ |
| $F_{1}^{\prime}$ | $e(2)+e(2 m)$ |
| $F_{3}^{\prime}$ | $e(4)+e(2 m+2)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $F_{m-6}^{\prime}$ | $e(m-5)+e(2 m+(m-7))$ |
| $F_{m-4}^{\prime}$ | $e(m-3)+e(2 m+(m-5))$ |

from which it can be deduced that $e(0), e(2), e(4), \ldots, e(m-3)$ (and their shifts by all multiples of $m)$ are the the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators.

What remains is to extend this list to the remaining offsets in $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$. There is one remaining even numbered offset, $m-1$ (i.e., $e(m-1)$ ), and there also remain all the odd numbered offsets, $1,2, \ldots, m-2$.

We begin with the sequence $e(m-4), e(m-6), \ldots, e(3), e(1)$. Since

$$
F_{-m-3}=Z \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
-m-2 & & -m & &  \tag{11}\\
X & I & I & \cdots & I & I & X & Z
\end{array}
$$

it follows that

$$
F_{-m-3}^{\prime}=\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
-m-2 & & { }^{-m} & I & I & \cdots & I & I & X  \tag{12}\\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

whose $X$-vector has a single 1 , in position $m-4$. Therefore, $e(m-4)$ is in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators. And, by the symmetry modulo $m$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, it holds that $e(k m-4)$ is in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators.

Next, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-m-5}=Z \quad \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $F_{-m-5}^{\prime}=F_{-m-5}$ and the $X$-vector of $F_{-m-5}^{\prime}$ is $e(m-6)+e(-m-4)$. Continuing in this manner, we obtain the results summarized in the table

| operator | $X$-vector |
| :--- | :--- |
| $F_{-m-3}^{\prime}$ | $e(m-4)$ |
| $F_{-m-5}^{\prime}$ | $e(m-6)+e(-m-4)$ |
| $F_{-m-7}^{\prime}$ | $e(m-8)+e(-m-6)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $F_{3}^{\prime}$ | $e(3)+e(-m-(m-5))$ |
| $F_{1}^{\prime}$ | $e(1)+e(2 m-(m-3))$ |

from which it can be deduced that $e(m-4), e(m-6), \ldots, e(3), e(1)$ (and their shifts by all multiples of $m$ ) are the the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators.

We have now established that $e(0), e(1), e(2), \ldots, e(m-4), e(m-3)$ (and their shifts by all multiples of $m$ ) are the the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators. What remains is to extend this list include two more offsets: $m-2$ and $m-1$, which are handled as special cases.

First, for the offset $m-2$, consider

Since this is aligned with the $H$ operators in positions -2 and $m-2$, it holds that

$$
G_{-m}^{\prime}=\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
-m  \tag{15}\\
Z & X & Z & X & \cdots & Z & X & Z & Z & Z & X & \cdots & X & Z & X & X
\end{array}
$$

Note that the $X$-vector of $G_{-m}^{\prime}$ has 1 s in various positions in $\{0,1, \ldots, m-3\}$ (modulo $m$ ) and in position $m-2$ (but not in position -2 ). Therefore, $e(m-2)$ is in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators.

All that remains is the offset $m-1$. For this, consider

$$
F_{m-2}=\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
m-2 & m-1 & m & m+1  \tag{16}\\
Z & X & I & I & \cdots & I & I & X & Z
\end{array}
$$

which is aligned with $H$ operators in positions $m-2$ and $3 m-2$. Therefore,

$$
F_{m-2}^{\prime}=\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
m-2 & m-1 & m & m+1  \tag{17}\\
X & X & I & I & \cdots & I & I & X & X
\end{array}
$$

whose $X$-vector has a 1 in positions $m-1, m-2,3 m-3$, and $3 m-2$. It follows that all of $e(0), e(1), e(2), \ldots, e(m-1)$ (and their shifts by all multiples of $m$ ) are in the span of the $X$-vectors of the modified generators.

Therefore the $X$-vectors of the modified generators are full rank, which completes the proof.

