Introduction to Quantum Information Processing (Fall 2022)

## Assignment 3 [question 3(c) revised] Due date: 11:59pm, October 27, 2022

- 1. A simple collision-finding problem [15 points]. Call  $f : \{0,1\}^2 \to \{0,1\}$  a twoto-one function if there are exactly two  $a \in \{0,1\}^2$  such that f(a) = 0 and exactly two  $a \in \{0,1\}^2$  such that f(a) = 1. Consider the problem where one is given such a function as a black-box and the goal is to find a *collision*, which is a pair  $a, b \in \{0,1\}^2$  such that  $a \neq b$  and f(a) = f(b).
  - (a) [3 points] How many queries to f does a *classical* algorithm require to find a collision? The algorithm must always succeed (the error probability for any run should be 0).
  - (b) [12 points] Show how to solve this problem by a *quantum* algorithm that makes one single query to f. The algorithm must always succeed (the error probability for any run should be 0).
- 2. Control-target inversion for mod m registers [15 points]. Consider a scenario where the registers are m-dimensional  $(m \ge 2)$ . Let the computational basis states be  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \ldots, |m-1\rangle$ . Define the two-register *addition* (mod m) gate as the unitary operation that acts on the computational basis states as



(where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ). In the above circuit diagram, each wire represents an *m*-dimensional system (a qubit in the special case where m = 2).

(a) [9 points] Prove that, for any  $m \ge 2$ , the following circuit equivalence holds:



where  $F_m$  is the  $m \times m$  Fourier transform.

(b) [6 points] Consider the following circuit diagram where the  $F_m$  and  $F_m^*$  are arranged in a slightly different way:



Give a simple expression for what the circuit does to computational basis states  $|a\rangle|b\rangle$  (for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ). There is a very simple expression.

- 3. Computing  $F_{pq}$  in terms of  $F_p$  and  $F_q$  [15 points]. Our construction of  $F_{2^n}$  is in terms of *n* computations of  $F_2$  (Hadamard gates) with phase adjustment gates inserted between these  $F_2$  gates. For the case where  $m = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$ , where  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$  are distinct primes, there is a construction of  $F_m$  in terms of  $F_{p_1}, F_{p_2}, \ldots, F_{p_m}$  that doesn't require any phase adjustments. The idea is that  $F_m$  is the same matrix as  $F_{p_1} \otimes F_{p_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{p_k}$ up to a reordering of the rows and columns. Here we explore a simple case of this.
  - (a) [3 points] Write out the  $6 \times 6$  matrix of  $F_6$ , the  $3 \times 3$  matrix of  $F_3$ , and the  $2 \times 2$  matrix of  $F_2$ .
  - (b) [4] Write out the  $6 \times 6$  matrix of  $F_2 \otimes F_3$ .
  - (c) [8] Show that there exist  $6 \times 6$  permutation matrices P and Q such that

$$F_6 = P(F_2 \otimes F_3)Q,\tag{1}$$

where a permutation a matrix has exactly one 1 in each row, and in each column, and all other entries are 0.

(In fact, this generalizes to  $F_{m_1m_2} = P(F_{m_1} \otimes F_{m_2})Q$  whenever  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are relatively prime, but you are not asked to show this more general result.)

4. Computing the "square root" of a quantum circuit [15 points]. Suppose that you are given a quantum circuit acting on n qubits consisting of m 2-qubit gates. It corresponds to some  $2^n \times 2^n$  unitary matrix U, but, in general, there is no way of efficiently calculating all the entries of U from the circuit. Suppose that we want to construct another circuit that computes a square root of U (i.e., a unitary V such that  $V^2 = U$ ). You can check that just taking the square root of each individual gate in the original circuit Udoes not yield such a V.

We will use a clever trick involving the eigenvalue-estimation algorithm to do this efficiently. We just consider a simplified case where we are *promised* that all the eigenvalues of U are in  $\{+1, -1\}$ ; however, the basic approach can be extended to the arbitrary case.

If the eigenvalues of U are assumed to be in  $\{+1, -1\}$ , there exists a unitary matrix W such that  $U = W^*DW$ , where D is a diagonal matrix of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{d_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^{d_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & (-1)^{d_{2^n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

for some  $d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{2^n-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ . It's easy to see that a square root of D is

$$\begin{pmatrix} i^{d_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & i^{d_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & i^{d_{2^n-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3)

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

Now, assume that we're given a circuit computing U with m 2-qubit gates and are promised that the eigenvalues of U are all in  $\{+1, -1\}$ . To be clear, although the aforementioned W and D exist mathematically, the circuit for U that we're given is not in the form of a composition separate circuits for  $W^*$ , D, W; our circuit is just some jumble of 2-qubit gates.

- (a) [3 points] Explain how, given a circuit for U consisting of m 2-qubit gates, we can construct a circuit for a controlled-U and a controlled- $U^*$ , where each consists of m 3-qubit gates. (These could be converted to circuits consisting of O(m) 2-qubit gates, but you are not asked to show that.)
- (b) [3 points] Prove that, for all  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n 1\}$ , the vector  $W^* | k \rangle$  is an eigenvector of U with eigenvalue  $(-1)^{d_k}$ . (W is as explained on the previous page.)
- (c) [6 points] Consider this quantum circuit that we'll refer to as C (where the 1-qubit gate G is yet to be determined):



Notice that this circuit begins as a circuit for phase estimation, followed by a 1-qubit gate G, followed by the inverse of the phase estimation circuit. Of course, if we were to set G = I then the above circuit would just compute the identity operation on n + 1 qubits. Choosing the right setting for G will make the circuit interesting. Show how to set the 1-qubit gate G so that, for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$ ,

$$C(|0\rangle \otimes (W^*|k\rangle)) = |0\rangle \otimes (i^{d_k} W^*|k\rangle)$$
(4)

(where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ). Include an explanation of why your choice of G works.

(d) [3 points] Explain why Eq. (4) from part (c) implies that, for some unitary V such that  $V^2 = U$ , it holds that, for all *n*-qubit states  $|\psi\rangle$ ,

$$C(|0\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) = |0\rangle \otimes (V|\psi\rangle).$$
(5)

## 5. (This is an optional question for bonus credit)

Fully identifying a function  $f : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  [6 points]. Recall that, in Deutsch's problem, we are given a black-box for an arbitrary function  $f : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , but we are not required to fully identify which of the four possible functions f is. Here we consider the problem where the goal is to correctly guess which of the four functions f is.

It's easy to deduce that, with a single *classical* f-query, the best success probability achievable is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Give a quantum algorithm that makes a single f-query and correctly guesses f with success probability  $\frac{3}{4}$ . Assume that the f is a worst-case instance for your algorithm.

(Warning: this might be more challenging than the two previous bonus questions.)